

EXCELLENCE IN CITIES

LEARNING MENTOR STRAND – SURVEY FINDINGS

Lisa O'Donnell Sarah Golden

Paper 19/2003

December 2003

CONTENTS

		page
1.	INTRODUCTION	1
	1.1 Background	1
	1.2 Research Methods	1
	1.3 Structure of Report	2
2.	SCHOOLS' EXPERIENCE OF, AND VIEWS ON, LEARNING	
	MENTORS	3
	2.1 Perceptions of Learning Mentors	3
	2.2 Use of Learning Mentors	4
3.	STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS	7
	3.1 Profile of Students' Background Characteristics	7
	3.2 Profile of Students' Family Background	14
	3.3 Prior attainment of Students who had seen a Learning Mentor	19
	3.4 Student Attitudes towards School	23
4.	STUDENTS' EXPERIENCES OF LEARNING MENTORS	26
	4.1 Extent to which Students had talked to a Learning Mentor	26
	4.2 Degree of Exposure to Learning Mentors	28
	4.3 Students' Views on the Helpfulness of Learning Mentors	31
5.	CONCLUSION	35
REF	FERENCES	36

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1 Background

Excellence in Cities (EiC), which was introduced in three phases between 1999 and 2001, is a targeted programme which provides additional resources to schools in urban areas. It aims to increase the diversity of provision for students whilst encouraging schools to cooperate to raise standards. One of the key characteristics of EiC is that it aims to recognise the individual needs of all students and to meet these needs. One element of EiC is the Learning Mentor Strand which aimed to support young people to overcome their barriers to learning and to fulfil their potential. Through this Strand, schools in 57 urban local education authorities received funding to employ Learning Mentors. The funding was distributed through EiC Partnerships to schools and was differentiated according to school characteristics such as level of entitlement to free school meals, attendance and exclusions.

Learning Mentors were members of the school staff, whose role was to support young people in order to overcome their individual barriers to learning. They could use a range of means to achieve this including one-to-one support and guidance, group work and through accessing specialist agencies.

The national evaluation of EiC, which was undertaken by a consortium of the National Foundation for Educational Research (NFER), the London School of Economics (LSE) and the Institute for Fiscal Studies (IFS), has gathered both quantitative and qualitative data on the implementation of this Strand of EiC since 2000. The qualitative findings have been reported in two reports (Golden *et al.*, 2002 and Golden *et al.*, 2003). This paper draws together a range of quantitative data from the surveys which relate to the implementation and experience of Learning Mentors.

1.2 Research Methods

The data presented in this report are based on the findings from a suite of surveys undertaken in the spring terms of 2001, 2002 and 2003. Surveys of senior managers in schools, form tutors and students were undertaken as follows:

- Questionnaire surveys of around 300 EiC schools: some but not all of the schools were the same in each survey
- Questionnaire surveys of around 1,500 form tutors in EiC schools, some but not all of whom responded to each survey

• Questionnaire surveys of around 10,000 students in each of year groups 7 to 11 in EiC schools. The structure of the surveys was such that different year groups in each school were surveyed each year, for example the Year 8 students in 2001 surveys were in different schools to those surveyed in 2002.

In addition, background data relating to the students who were surveyed which is held on the National Pupil Database (NPD) was used to provide details of their characteristics and attainment at key stages 2 and 3.

1.3 Structure of Report

Section 2 outlines the experience which schools who were surveyed had of Learning Mentors. It explores teachers' perceptions of the value of Learning Mentors and examines the ways in which they were deployed in schools.

The characteristics and attitudes of students who had seen a Learning Mentor, compared with those who had not, are presented in Section 3. The section explores the extent of differences between students who have met with Learning Mentors once, two to five times and six or more times.

Section 4 investigates students' experience of seeing a Learning Mentor including the number of times they have met and the extent to which students found the experience helpful. A conclusion is presented in Section 5.

2. SCHOOLS' EXPERIENCE OF, AND VIEWS ON, LEARNING MENTORS

2.1 Perceptions of Learning Mentors

The Learning Mentor strand of EiC appeared to have been well received by schools. In 2003, just over half (52 per cent) of the senior managers in 320 EiC schools who responded to the school questionnaire identified Learning Mentors as a benefit of EiC in an unprompted response. Together with the Gifted and Talented Strand, this was the most frequently named Strand when school managers identified the benefits of the initiative. The increasing appreciation of the value of Learning Mentors, which was noted during visits to schools (Golden et al., 2002 and Golden et al., 2003), may be reflected in the finding that 35 per cent of respondents said that Learning Mentors were a benefit in 2001 and this had increased to 52 per cent, as noted above, in 2003. Implementing this element of EiC had not been without challenges, however, and across the three surveys, between 13 and 14 per cent of respondents indicated that the Learning Mentor Strand had been one of the difficulties which they had encountered with EiC. In 2003, such challenges included difficulties in recruiting and retaining Learning Mentors (11 schools), insufficient funding (nine schools) and friction with other staff (six schools). In addition, four schools noted the difficulty of gathering evidence of the effect of Learning Mentors and the same number commented that Learning Mentors could focus more on the academic needs of students.

Responses from teachers revealed that the majority of teachers were supportive of the value of students accessing trained mentors, as shown in Table 2.1. Furthermore, the proportions who believed that accessing trained mentors were 'very' and 'quite important' remained constant across the three surveys.

Table 2.1 Teachers' perception of the importance of accessing a Learning Mentor

How important is that all pupils have access to a	2001 survey	2002 survey	2003 survey
trained mentor?	%	%	%
Very important	24	24	24
Quite important	49	47	45
Not important	21	21	21
Don't know	6	7	7
No response	<1	1	2
N	1,381	1,480	1,709

A single response item

Due to rounding, percentages may not sum to 100 Source: NFER EIC surveys of teachers 2001–2003

Around two-thirds (64 per cent) of the teachers who knew about EiC (1,481 individuals) and responded to the survey in 2003 said that they were aware of the Learning Mentor Strand. The majority of these (87 per cent) believed that the Learning Mentor Strand would benefit students who met with a Learning Mentor and 61 per cent said that the Strand would be popular with parents. However, they were more circumspect about the benefits to the wider student community, as a third (33 per cent) said that all students would benefit from the Strand and 39 per cent did not think this was the case.

2.2 Use of Learning Mentors

Schools employed an average of 2.5 full-time Learning Mentors and 1.6 part-time Learning Mentors in 2003, as was also the case among the schools surveyed in 2002. The Learning Mentors came from a range of professional backgrounds, as illustrated in Table 2.2.

Table 2.2 Backgrounds of Learning Mentors

Backgrounds	2002 % of schools	2003 % of schools
Learning support / teaching assistants	50	53
Youth work	45	51
Other	36	34
Teaching	37	33
Counselling	30	26
Social Services	15	15
Educational Welfare Services	10	8
N	305	301

A multiple response item

Source: NFER EIC surveys of schools 2001–2003

The profile of the backgrounds of Learning Mentors remained fairly similar across the two surveys, although slightly more schools reported that their Learning Mentors had youth work backgrounds in 2003 while fewer said they had teaching or counselling backgrounds.

In deploying the Learning Mentors in schools, most schools had taken a targeted approach, as shown in Table 2.3.

Table 2.3 Deployment of Learning Mentors

	2001 %	2002 %	2003 %
Targeted at individual pupils	89	96	93
Targeted at particular groups	54	66	67
Drop-in access	29	50	56
Other	12	12	13
No response	6	<1	4
N	296	319	320

A multiple response item

Source: NFER EIC surveys of schools 2001–2003

The majority of schools targeted Learning Mentors at individual pupils in each of the years of the survey. Although the approaches to deploying Learning Mentors appeared to change slightly between 2001 and 2002, perhaps as they became more established in schools, in general the use of targeted approaches in schools remained similar across the surveys. However, it appeared that the use of drop-in access was increasing, which, visits to schools have suggested, may have been a consequence of the need for students who might not be identified for a formal referral, to access the support of a Learning Mentor. Drop-in access was used to complement a targeted approach. Nine per cent of schools surveyed in 2002 indicated that they used both drop-in and targeting individual pupils and 34 per cent used drop-in together with targeting at individual pupils and groups of pupils.

The evidence from the surveys of form teachers suggest that an increasing proportion were involved in identifying students who could benefit from seeing a Learning Mentor, as shown in Table 2.4.

Table 2.4 Form teachers' involvement in identifying students to see a Learning Mentor

Involved in identifying students?	2001 %	2002 %	2003 %
Yes	51	55	59
No	46	41	38
No response	3	3	4
N	1,381	1,480	1,709

A single response item

Due to rounding, percentages may not sum to 100 Source: NFER EIC surveys of teachers 2001–2003

Although these increases are slight, they may reflect Learning Mentors becoming increasingly established in schools and their role and potential contribution to supporting students being recognised by the wider staff.

This Section has revealed that schools and teachers considered the Learning Mentor Strand to be of value and were often targeting their use at individual students. The characteristics of those students who met with a Learning Mentor, and their views on the helpfulness of this, are explored in the next Section.

3. STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS

This Section explores the nature of the young people surveyed in 2001 to 2002 and compares those who had not seen a Learning Mentor with those who said they had met with them once, two to five times or six or more times. It outlines their background characteristics and family background, their attainment levels and their attitudes towards school.

3.1 Profile of Students' Background Characteristics

Across the year groups, in the main, students who met with a Learning Mentor in the academic year of 2001–2002 did not differ from their peers in most respects. Moreover, in general, young people who met with a Learning Mentor more times did not appear to differ from those who had met a Learning Mentor less.

However, across the year groups, it appeared that there were some differences in background and family characteristics of those young people who had seen a Learning Mentor compared with those that had not. These are described below for each of the year groups.

Table 3.1 Year 7: Background characteristics

Background	characteristic	Not seen a Learning Mentor	Seen a Learning Mentor once	Seen a Learning Mentor 2–5 times	Seen a Learning Mentor 6 or more times %	N
Sex	Male	75	9	10	7	4,973
	Female	80	8	7	4	5,762
Total		78	8	8	5	10,735
Ethnicity	White	78	9	8	5	7,801
	Asian or Asian British	83	7	7	4	1,104
	Black or Black British	81	6	6	6	578
	Other	76	9	8	7	611
	Prefer not to say	71	10	12	8	522
Total		78	8	8	5	10,616
Use of spoken	Always / almost always	78	8	8	5	9,470
English	Never / sometimes	73	10	9	7	1,239
Total		78	8	8	5	10,709

continued overleaf

Table 3.1 cont'd

Background cl	haracteristic	Not seen a Learning Mentor	Seen a Learning Mentor once	Seen a Learning Mentor 2–5 times	Seen a Learning Mentor 6 or more times %	N
Eligible for	No	79	9	8	5	8,183
free school meals	Yes	75	8	9	8	2,510
Total		78	8	8	5	10,693
Special needs	No SEN	81	8	7	4	8,848
	SEN stages 1–3	62	11	14	13	1,264
	SEN stages 4 and 5	55	11	16	19	200
Total		78	9	8	5	10,312
Gifted and	No	77	9	8	6	10,177
Talented	Yes	84	5	7	3	825
Total		78	8	8	5	11,002
Previous	Not excluded	78	8	8	5	10,946
exclusions	Excluded	43	14	25	18	56
Total		78	8	8	5	11,002

Source: NFER surveys of Year 7 students 2002 and NPD All those students for whom NPD data were available Due to rounding, percentages may not sum to 100

Table 3.1 reveals that Year 7 students who had met with a Learning Mentor were more likely to be male, and more likely to never or only sometimes speak English than would be expected given the overall profile of mentored students. Furthermore, male students were more likely to have met with a Learning Mentor at least twice.

Students who had been identified as having special educational needs (SEN) at stages 1–3 or 4 and 5, and those who had been previously excluded from school were much more likely to have met with a Learning Mentor. Moreover, these students were more likely to have met with a Learning Mentor at least twice. However, it is worth noting that the overall number of students who had been previously excluded was very small.

Asian or Asian British students and those identified as gifted and talented were less likely to have met with a Learning Mentor than would be expected given the overall profile of the mentored cohort.

Table 3.2 Year 8: Background characteristics

Background	characteristic	Not seen a Learning Mentor	Learning Mentor once	Seen a Learning Mentor 2–5 times	Seen a Learning Mentor 6 or more times	N
		%	%	%	%	
Sex	Male	81	6	7	7	4,766
	Female	85	5	6	4	4,965
Total		83	5	7	5	9,731
Ethnicity	White	84	5	7	5	6,706
	Asian or Asian British	87	4	5	4	1,142
	Black or Black British	81	7	7	5	789
	Other	78	8	9	6	622
	Prefer not to say	72	6	12	10	324
Total		83	5	7	5	9,583
Use of spoken	Always/almost always	84	5	7	5	8,532
English	Never/sometimes	80	6	8	6	1,113
Total		83	5	7	5	9,645
Eligible for	No	85	5	6	5	7,253
free school meals	Yes	79	7	8	7	2,386
Total		83	5	7	5	9,639
Special needs	No SEN	87	4	6	3	7,647
	SEN stages 1–3	70	9	10	11	1,509
	SEN stages 4 and 5	61	10	12	17	202
Total		84	5	6	5	9,358
Gifted and	No	82	5	7	5	9,077
Talented	Yes	92	2	4	2	841
Total		83	5	7	5	9,918
Previous	Not excluded	83	5	7	5	9,762
exclusions	Excluded	59	8	15	19	156
Total		83	5	7	5	9,918

All those students for whom NPD data were available

Due to rounding, percentages may not sum to 100

Source: NFER surveys of Year 8 students 2002 and NPD

Table 3.2 reveals that Asian or Asian British students and those identified as gifted and talented were less likely to have seen a Learning Mentor than would be expected given the overall profile of the cohort.

Year 8 students who were eligible for free school meals, those who had been identified as being on SEN stages 1–3 and 4 and 5, and those who had previously

been excluded from school were more likely to have met with a Learning Mentor than would be expected given the overall profile of mentored students. Furthermore, students identified as having special needs and those with previous exclusions were more likely to have met with a Learning Mentor at least twice.

Table 3.3 Year 9: Background characteristics

Background of	characteristic	Not seen a Learning Mentor	Seen a Learning Mentor once	Seen a Learning Mentor 2–5 times	Seen a Learning Mentor 6 or more times %	N
Sex	Male	79	8	7	6	4,633
	Female	84	7	6	3	4,455
Total		81	7	7	5	9,088
Ethnicity	White	81	7	7	5	6,128
	Asian or Asian British	87	6	5	2	1,308
	Black or Black British	77	8	8	7	587
	Other	79	8	6	7	524
	Prefer not to say	80	7	7	6	245
Total		82	7	6	5	8,792
Use of spoken	Always/almost always	82	7	7	5	7773
English	Never/sometimes	80	9	6	5	1,124
Total		81	7	7	5	8,897
Eligible for	No	82	7	6	4	6,876
free school meals	Yes	78	8	8	7	2,184
Total		81	7	7	5	9,060
Special needs	No SEN	85	6	6	3	7,321
	SEN stages 1–3	69	10	10	11	1,439
	SEN stages 4 and 5	61	13	13	14	160
Total		82	7	7	5	8,920
Gifted and Talented	No	81	7	7	5	8,424
	Yes	87	4	5	4	740
Total		81	7	7	5	9,164
Previous exclusions	Not excluded	82	7	6	5	8,996
	Excluded	60	7	13	20	168
Total		81	7	7	5	9,164

All those students for whom NPD data were available

Due to rounding, percentages may not sum to 100

Source: NFER surveys of Year 9 students 2002 and NPD

Table 3.3 reveals that Asian or Asian British students and those identified as gifted and talented were less likely to have seen a Learning Mentor than would be expected given the overall profile of the Year 9 mentored cohort.

Black or Black British students, students who had been identified as having SEN, and those who had previously been excluded from school were more likely to report that they had seen a Learning Mentor than would be expected compared to mentored students overall. Moreover, students identified as having special needs and those with previous exclusions were more likely to have met with a Learning Mentor at least twice.

Table 3.4 Year 10: Background characteristics

Background	characteristic	Not seen a Learning Mentor	Seen a Learning Mentor once	Seen a Learning Mentor 2–5 times	Seen a Learning Mentor 6 or more times %	N
Sex	Male	84	5	6	5	4,828
	Female	86	4	6	4	5,786
Total		85	4	6	5	10,614
Ethnicity	White	85	4	6	4	7,051
	Asian or Asian British	90	4	4	2	1,567
	Black or Black British	80	6	7	7	678
	Other	83	4	7	6	630
	Prefer not to say	78	6	8	8	293
Total		85	4	6	4	10,219
Use of spoken	Always/almost always	85	4	6	4	9,100
English	Never/sometimes	84	5	6	5	1,207
Total		85	4	6	4	10,307
Eligible for	No	86	4	5	4	8,054
free school meals	Yes	80	5	8	7	2,503
Total		85	5	6	5	10,557
Special needs	No SEN	88	4	5	3	8,850
	SEN stages 1–3	72	8	10	10	1,340
	SEN stages 4 and 5	57	12	12	19	162
Total		85	5	6	4	10,352

continued overleaf

Table 3.4 cont'd

Background	characteristic	Not seen a Learning Mentor	Seen a Learning Mentor once	Seen a Learning Mentor 2–5 times	Seen a Learning Mentor 6 or more times %	N
Gifted and	No	85	4	6	5	9,666
Talented	Yes	88	4	4	4	1,033
Total		85	4	6	5	10,699
Previous	Not excluded	86	4	6	4	10,444
exclusions	Excluded	62	8	15	15	255
Total		85	4	6	5	10,699

All those students for whom NPD data were available

Due to rounding, percentages may not sum to 100

Source: NFER surveys of Year 10 students 2002 and NPD

Table 3.4 reveals that Asian or Asian British students were less likely to have seen a Learning Mentor than would be expected given the overall profile of the Year 10 mentored cohort. Black or Black British students, on the other hand, were more likely to report that they had met with a Learning Mentor.

Students eligible for free school meals, those identified as having SEN and those who had previously been excluded from school were more likely to report that they had seen a Learning Mentor, and indeed they were more likely to indicate that they had met them at least twice, than would be expected given the overall profile of Year 10 mentored students.

Table 3.5 Year 11: Background characteristics

Background	d characteristic	Not seen a Learning Mentor	Learning Mentor once	Seen a Learning Mentor 2–5 times	Seen a Learning Mentor 6 or more times	N
		%	%	%	%	
Sex	Male	65	13	15	7	3,729
	Female	68	13	13	6	3,815
Total		67	13	14	6	7,544
Ethnicity	White	68	13	13	6	6,090
	Asian or Asian British	66	14	16	3	645
	Black or Black British	54	14	20	12	241
	Other	68	14	14	4	247
	Prefer not to say	62	13	17	7	138
Total		67	13	14	6	7,361

continued on next page

Table 3.5 cont'd

Background	characteristic	Not seen a Learning Mentor	Seen a Learning Mentor once	Seen a Learning Mentor 2–5 times	Seen a Learning Mentor 6 or more times	N
		%	%	%	%	
Use of spoken	Always/ almost always	68	12	14	6	6,803
English	Never/ sometimes	58	18	16	8	590
Total		67	13	14	6	7,393
Eligible for	No	68	13	13	6	6,091
free school meals	Yes	63	13	16	8	1,416
Total		67	13	14	6	7,507
Special needs	No SEN	68	13	14	6	6,412
	SEN stages 1-3	63	11	16	10	925
	SEN stages 4 and 5	56	9	16	18	110
Total		67	13	14	6	7,447
Gifted and	No	67	13	14	6	6,848
Talented	Yes	66	12	16	5	772
Total		67	13	14	6	7,620
Previous	Not excluded	67	13	14	6	7,409
exclusions	Excluded	49	14	19	18	211
Total		67	13	14	6	7,620

All those students for whom NPD data were available

Due to rounding, percentages may not sum to 100

Source: NFER surveys of Year 11 students 2002 and NPD

Table 3.5 reveals that Black or Black British students were more likely to indicate that they had met with a Learning Mentor at least twice than would be expected given the overall profile of the Year 11 mentored students. Students who never or only sometimes speak English were also more likely to report that they had seen a Learning Mentor.

Students who had been identified as having SEN and were on stages 4 and 5 were less likely to have met with a Learning Mentor once, but were more likely to have seen a Learning Mentor at least twice than would be expected given the overall profile of mentored students. Year 11 students who had previously been excluded from school were also more likely to have met with a Learning Mentor two to five times and six or more times than would be expected.

In summary, across the year groups, it appeared that a greater proportion of students who were recognised for action on the register of SEN, or who had been excluded, had seen a Learning Mentor than would be expected given the overall profile of students in these schools. Furthermore, in Years 9 to 11, more students who were black or black British had seen a Learning Mentor than would be expected.

3.2 Profile of Students' Family Background

Students' family background, including the educational attainment of their parents, may be an influence on their school experience. This section explores the self-reported backgrounds of students who had met with, and those who had not met with, a Learning Mentor.

Table 3.6 Year 7: Family background

Background c	haracteristic	Not seen a Learning Mentor	Seen a Learning Mentor once	Seen a Learning Mentor 2–5 times	Seen a Learning Mentor 6 or more times %	N
Live with	No	72	10	11	8	817
mother	Yes	78	8	8	5	10,185
Total		78	8	8	5	11,002
Live with	No	76	8	9	6	3,460
father	Yes	78	8	8	5	7,542
Total		78	8	8	5	11,002
Number of	Few	72	10	10	7	1,620
books in	Some	78	8	8	5	2,818
home	Many	79	8	8	5	6,231
Total		78	8	8	5	10,669
Mother's	Secondary school	77	9	9	5	2,460
education –	College	77	8	9	5	1,903
highest achieved	University	76	9	8	6	1,802
Total		77	9	9	5	6,165
Father's	Secondary school	78	8	8	5	2,265
education –	College	76	10	10	4	1,511
highest achieved	University	79	8	8	5	1,711
Total		78	9	9	5	5,487
Mother's	In full-time work	78	9	8	5	3,916
employment	In part-time work	79	9	8	5	3,171
status	Not in work	77	8	9	6	3,013
Total		78	8	8	5	10,100
Father's	In full-time work	79	9	8	4	6,342
employment	In part-time work	78	9	8	6	1,198
status	Not in work	75	8	10	8	1,364
Total		78	8	8	5	8,904

Due to rounding, percentages may not sum to 100 Source: NFER surveys of Year 7 students 2002

Table 3.7 Year 8: Family background

Background	characteristic	Not seen a Learning Mentor	Seen a Learning Mentor once	Seen a Learning Mentor 2–5 times	Seen a Learning Mentor 6 or more times %	N
T · · ·/1	N					057
Live with mother	No Yes	74 84	7 5	9 7	11 5	856 9,062
Total	168	83	5	7	5	9,918
Live with	No	79	6	8	7	3,423
father	Yes	85	5	6	4	6,495
Total	103	83	5	7	5	9,918
Number of	Few	77	7	9	7	1,804
books in	Some	83	5	6	5	2,517
home	Many	85	4	6	4	5,212
Total	,	83	5	7	5	9,533
Mother's	Secondary school	81	6	8	5	2,375
education –	College	85	5	6	4	1,861
highest achieved	University	83	6	7	4	1,490
Total	·	83	6	7	5	5,726
Father's	Secondary school	82	6	7	5	2,176
education –	College	84	6	6	4	1,345
highest achieved	University	84	5	6	5	1,564
Total	·	83	6	7	5	5,085
Mother's	In full-time work	85	5	6	4	3,732
employment	In part-time work	84	5	7	4	2,560
status	Not in work	80	5	8	7	2,603
Total		83	5	7	5	8,895
Father's	In full-time work	85	5	6	4	5,574
employment	In part-time work	82	5	8	5	859
status	Not in work	79	6	8	7	1,176
Total		84	5	6	5	7,609

Due to rounding, percentages may not sum to 100 Source: NFER surveys of Year 8 students 2002

Table 3.8 Year 9: Family background

Background	characteristic	Not seen a Learning Mentor	Seen a Learning Mentor once	Seen a Learning Mentor 2–5 times	Seen a Learning Mentor 6 or more times %	N
Live with	No	74	11	8	7	789
mother	Yes	82	7	6	5	8,375
Total	103	81	7	7	5	9,164
Live with	No	78	8	8	6	3,067
father	Yes	83	7	6	4	6,097
Total	100	81	7	7	5	9,164
Number of	Few	78	9	7	6	1,987
books in	Some	82	6	7	5	2,395
home	Many	83	7	6	4	4,403
Total	<u> </u>	82	7	7	5	8,785
Mother's	Secondary school	81	8	6	5	2,613
education –	College	83	6	7	4	1,760
highest achieved	University	81	7	7	5	1,334
Total		82	7	7	5	5,707
Father's	Secondary school	81	8	6	5	2,441
education –	College	83	6	7	5	1,318
highest achieved	University	83	7	5	5	1,368
Total	•	82	7	6	5	5,127
Mother's	In full-time work	82	7	6	5	3,600
employment	In part-time work	82	7	7	4	2,203
status	Not in work	82	6	6	5	2,418
Total		82	7	6	5	8,221
Father's	In full-time work	83	7	6	4	5,282
employment	In part-time work	81	7	7	5	713
status	Not in work	80	8	7	5	1,026
Total		82	7	6	5	7,021

Due to rounding, percentages may not sum to 100 Source: NFER surveys of Year 9 students 2002

Table 3.9 Year 10: Family background

Background	characteristic	Not seen a Learning Mentor	Seen a Learning Mentor once	Seen a Learning Mentor 2–5 times	Seen a Learning Mentor 6 or more times %	N
T ::41.	N					1.075
Live with mother	No	79	5	8	7	1,067
Total	Yes	86	4	6	4	9,632
	N	85	4	6	5	10,699
Live with father	No	81	5	7	7	3,656
	Yes	87	4	5	3	7,043
Total		85	4	6	5	10,699
Number of books in	Few	81	6	7	6	2,037
home	Some	86	4	6	4	2,708
	Many	86	4	5	4	5,447
Total		85	4	6	4	10,192
Mother's	Secondary school	86	5	5	4	3,456
education – highest	College	85	4	6	4	1,979
achieved	University	85	4	6	4	1,610
Total		85	4	6	4	7,045
Father's	Secondary school	85	4	6	5	3,159
education –	College	85	6	6	3	1,626
highest achieved	University	89	3	4	4	1,734
Total		86	4	6	4	6,519
Mother's	In full-time work	86	4	6	4	4,260
employment		87	5	5	3	*
status	In part-time work Not in work	84	4		5	2,273
Total	INOU III WOFK			6		2,879
Total	Y 0.11 .:	85	4	6	4	9,412
Father's employment	In full-time work	87	4	5	4	5,987
status	In part-time work	83	6	6	4	712
	Not in work	83	5	7	5	1,382
Total		86	4	6	4	8,081

Due to rounding, percentages may not sum to 100 Source: NFER surveys of Year 10 students 2002

Table 3.10 Year 11: Family background

Background	characteristic	Not seen a Learning Mentor	Seen a Learning Mentor once	Seen a Learning Mentor 2–5 times	Seen a Learning Mentor 6 or more times	N
		%	%	%	%	
Live with mother	No	62	12	16	10	711
mother	Yes	67	13	14	6	6,909
Total		67	13	14	6	7,620
Live with	No	63	13	15	8	2,459
father	Yes	68	13	13	5	5,161
Total		67	13	14	6	7,620
Number of	Few	65	12	15	7	1,485
books in	Some	66	12	15	7	1,960
home	Many	68	13	13	6	3,896
Total		67	13	14	6	7,341
Mother's	Secondary school	68	12	13	7	3,231
education –	College	69	12	14	5	1,464
highest achieved	University	65	15	13	6	948
Total		67	13	14	6	5,643
Father's	Secondary school	68	12	14	6	3,081
education –	College	68	14	12	6	1,141
highest achieved	University	66	15	14	6	1,018
Total	•	68	13	13	6	5,240
Mother's	In full-time work	66	13	14	6	3,423
employment	In part-time work	70	12	12	6	1,716
status	Not in work	66	13	15	7	1,761
Total		67	13	14	6	6,900
Father's	In full-time work	68	13	14	5	4,688
employment	In part-time work	63	16	14	7	343
status	Not in work	66	11	14	9	885
Total		68	13	14	6	5,916

Due to rounding, percentages may not sum to 100 Source: NFER surveys of Year 11 students 2002

Across all the year groups, students who did not live with their mother were more likely to have seen a Learning Mentor than would be expected given the overall profile of mentored students. In Years 8 and 11, students who did not live with their father also tended to be more likely to have met with a Learning Mentor. The surveys reported here also suggest that students with few books at home were more likely to see a Learning Mentor than students with more books at home.

3.3 Prior attainment of Students who had seen a Learning Mentor

Tables 3.11 to 3.13 detail the attainment at the end of key stage 2 of students in Years 7, 8 and 9 in 2002/03, and Tables 3.14 to 3.15 detail the attainment of students in Years 10 and 11 at the end of key stage 3.

Table 3.11 Year 7: Attainment

Attainment		Not seen a Learning Mentor	Seen a Learning Mentor once	Seen a Learning Mentor 2–5 times	Seen a Learning Mentor 6 or more times %	N
KS2 English	Below level 4	67	10	12	11	2,804
	At or above level 4	81	8	7	4	8,198
Total		78	8	8	5	11,002
KS2 Maths	Below level 4	71	10	11	9	3,183
	At or above level 4	81	8	7	4	7,819
Total		78	8	8	5	11,002
KS2 Science	Below level 4	71	10	11	8	1,608
	At or above level 4	79	8	8	5	9,394
Total		78	8	8	5	11,002

All those students for whom NPD data were available

Due to rounding, percentages may not sum to 100

Source: NFER surveys of Year 7 students 2002 and NPD

Table 3.12 Year 8: Attainment

Attainment		Not seen a Learning Mentor	Seen a Learning Mentor once	Seen a Learning Mentor 2–5 times	Seen a Learning Mentor 6 or more times	N
		%	%	%	%	
KS2 English	Below level 4	73	8	10	9	2,749
	At or above level 4	87	4	6	4	7,169
Total		83	5	7	5	9,918
KS2 Maths	Below level 4	75	7	9	9	3,034
	At or above level 4	87	4	6	4	6,884
Total		83	5	7	5	9,918
KS2 Science	Below level 4	72	8	10	10	1,838
	At or above level 4	85	5	6	4	8,080
Total		83	5	7	5	9,918

All those students for whom NPD data were available

Due to rounding, percentages may not sum to 100

Source: NFER surveys of Year 8 students 2002 and NPD

The tables reveal that students in Years 7 and 8 who had seen a Learning Mentor had achieved less well at key stage 2 than would be expected given the profile of attainment of the whole cohort. For example, 11 per cent of Year 7 students who had attained below level 4 in key stage 2 English had seen a Learning Mentor six or more times whereas, among the whole cohort, five per cent of students had met with a Learning Mentor this often. This difference is similar across students who had met with a Learning Mentor regardless of the number of times they had met.

These differences were less marked when the attainment at key stage 2 of students in Years 9 and at key stage 3 of students in Years 10 to 11 are examined, as can be seen in Tables 3.13 to 3.15.

Table 3.13 Year 9: Attainment

Attainment		Not seen a Learning Mentor	Seen a Learning Mentor once	Seen a Learning Mentor 2–5 times	Seen a Learning Mentor 6 or more times %	N
KS2 English	Below level 4	76	9	8	7	3,049
	At or above level 4	84	6	6	4	6,115
Total		81	7	7	5	9,164
KS2 Maths	Below level 4	78	8	8	6	3,084
	At or above level 4	83	7	6	4	6,080
Total		81	7	7	5	9,164
KS2 Science	Below level 4	77	9	8	6	2,333
	At or above level 4	83	7	6	4	6,831
Total		81	7	7	5	9,164

All those students for whom NPD data were available Due to rounding, percentages may not sum to 100

Source: NFER surveys of Year 9 students 2002 and NPD

Table 3.14 Year 10: Attainment

Attainment		Not seen a Learning Mentor	Seen a Learning Mentor once	Seen a Learning Mentor 2–5 times	Seen a Learning Mentor 6 or more times %	N
KS3 English	Below level 5	79	6	8	8	3,614
	At or above level 5	88	4	5	3	7,085
Total		85	4	6	5	10,699
KS3 Maths	Below level 5	80	5	8	7	3,794
	At or above level 5	88	4	5	3	6,905
Total		85	4	6	5	10,699
KS3 Science	Below level 5	79	6	8	7	3,936
	At or above level 5	88	4	5	3	6,763
Total		85	4	6	5	10,699

All those students for whom NPD data were available Due to rounding, percentages may not sum to 100

Source: NFER surveys of Year 10 students 2002 and NPD

Table 3.15 Year 11: Attainment

Attainment		Not seen a Learning Mentor	Seen a Learning Mentor once	Seen a Learning Mentor 2–5 times	Seen a Learning Mentor 6 or more times %	N
KS3 English	Below level 5	66	12	15	8	2,512
	At or above level 5	67	13	14	6	5,108
Total		67	13	14	6	7,620
KS3 Maths	Below level 5	66	12	15	7	2,681
	At or above level 5	67	13	14	6	4,939
Total		67	13	14	6	7,620
KS3 Science	Below level 5	66	12	15	7	3,152
	At or above level 5	67	14	14	6	4,468
Total		67	13	14	6	7,620

All those students for whom NPD data were available Due to rounding, percentages may not sum to 100

Source: NFER surveys of Year 11 students 2002 and NPD

There was an overall tendency for students who had attained level 5 or below to see a Learning Mentor. For example, eight per cent of those in Year 10 who attained below a level 5 at key stage 3 had seen a Learning Mentor six or more times, whereas five per cent of all students had seen a Learning Mentor six or more times. However, the differences were less marked than was the case among the Year 7 and 8 students and in Year 11, as can be seen in Table 3.15, students who had seen a Learning Mentor did not appear to have noticeably different attainment levels than those who had not see a Learning Mentor. For example, 66 per cent of those who attained below level 5 at key stage 3 had not seen a Learning Mentor and 67 per cent of all students had not seen a Learning Mentor.

The attainment levels of students who see a Learning Mentor suggest that in Years 7 and 8, Learning Mentors are supporting young people whose attainment is lower than their peers. However, this focus appears to occur less often in the higher year groups. Interviews with Learning Mentors and students have shown that Learning Mentors work with young people on a range of complex and individual needs in order to reduce their barriers to learning. While these students do not appear to differ notably from their peers in terms of their attainment in key stage assessments, it is not possible from this data to identify the potential that students have to attain higher than they are at present. It may be, therefore, that Learning Mentors are supporting these

young people to achieve their full potential. Some of the barriers to learning and achieving their full potential may be reflected in their attitudes, as outlined in Tables 3.16 to 3.20.

3.4 Student Attitudes towards School

Table 3.16 Year 7: Attitudes to school¹

Attitude	Not seen a Learning Mentor (Mean score)	Seen a Learning Mentor once (Mean score)	Seen a Learning Mentor 2–5 times (Mean score)	Seen a Learning Mentor 6 or more times (Mean score)
Positive motivation	6.0	5.8	4.8	4.5
Effective school	48.0	49.7	49.2	49.9
Study skills/natural learner	7.3	7.4	7.1	7.1
Parental interest/more support	13.4	13.6	13.7	13.7
N = 13,621				

Source: NFER surveys of Year 7 students 2002

Table 3.17 Year 8: Attitudes to school

Attitude	Not seen a Learning Mentor	Seen a Learning Mentor once	Seen a Learning Mentor 2–5 times	Seen a Learning Mentor 6 or more times
	(Mean score)	(Mean score)	(Mean score)	(Mean score)
Positive motivation	4.6	3.5	2.7	1.5
Effective school	70.9	72.0	71.8	70.3
Study skills/natural learner	10.3	10.3	10.1	9.7
Positive, partnership learning	3.9	3.2	3.4	2.8
Parental interest/more support	13.4	13.6	13.7	13.6
N = 11,488				

Source: NFER surveys of Year 8 students 2002

¹ Tables 3.16 to 3.20 summarise the mean scores on a number of attitude scales derived for the student surveys.

Table 3.18 Year 9: Attitudes to school

Attitude	Not seen a Learning Mentor (Mean score)	Seen a Learning Mentor once (Mean score)	Seen a Learning Mentor 2–5 times (Mean score)	Seen a Learning Mentor 6 or more times (Mean score)
Positive motivation	4.4	3.6	3.0	0.5
Effective school	68.4	68.2	69.5	66.2
Study skills/natural learner	10.5	10.4	10.4	10.0
Positive, partnership learning	3.9	3.4	3.4	3.1
Parental interest/more support	13.1	13.4	13.2	13.1
N = 10,564			·	_

Source: NFER surveys of Year 9 students 2002

In Years 7 to 9, students who had seen a Learning Mentor did not appear to differ noticeably from their peers in relation to their perception that their school was effective. Nor did their responses to the questionnaires indicate that they had less effective study skills, or lacked parental interest or support. However, across all three year groups, they appeared to be less motivated than their peers who had not seen a Learning Mentor. For example, students in Year 9 who had not met with a Learning Mentor scored an average of 4.4 in relation to their motivation, while those who had seen a Learning Mentor once scored 3.6 and the score was noticeably lower among those who had seen a Learning Mentor six or more times (0.5).

Table 3.19 Year 10: Attitudes to school

Attitude	Not seen a Learning Mentor	Seen a Learning Mentor once (Mean score)	Seen a Learning Mentor 2–5 times	Seen a Learning Mentor 6 or more times (Mean score)
Good, supportive school	81.4	82.9	81.4	78.4
Well behaved	7.3	6.3	5.5	4.3
Study skills/natural learner	10.4	10.4	10.1	9.8
1 ,				
Support from school	3.3	3.2	3.0	2.7
Focus on exams	8.6	8.9	8.9	8.9
Supportive parents	9.7	10.0	10.0	9.5
N = 12,045				

Source: NFER surveys of Year 10 students 2002

Table 3.20 Year 11: Attitudes to school

Attitude	Not seen a Learning Mentor	Seen a Learning Mentor once (Mean score)	Seen a Learning Mentor 2–5 times	Seen a Learning Mentor 6 or more times (Mean score)
Good, supportive school	83.0	83.5	85.2	81.9
Well behaved	8.0	8.0	7.9	5.9
Study skills/natural learner	10.6	10.6	10.6	10.3
Support from school	3.3	3.3	3.2	3.3
Focus on exams	8.7	8.7	9.0	9.1
Supportive parents	9.3	9.4	9.5	9.3
N = 8,483				

Source: NFER surveys of Year 11 students 2002

As can be seen in Tables 3.19 and 3.20, the students in Years 10 and 11 who had seen a Learning Mentor similarly did not differ greatly from their peers in many of their attitudes. They appeared to be similar, albeit slightly more negative in Year 10, in their perceptions of whether their school was good and supportive, and were similar in their views of whether the school provided sufficient support. In addition, they did not appear to differ noticeably in the extent to which they had study skills. However, in their responses relating to their behaviour, they had lower scores than their peers and the scores declined in relation to the number of times they had seen a Learning Mentor. For example, Year 10 students who did not see a Learning Mentor scored an average of 7.3 on a behaviour rating, whereas those who had seen a Learning Mentor once scored 6.3 and those who had seen a Learning Mentor six or more times scored 4.3.

These findings suggest that the students who meet with a Learning Mentor may be similar to their peers in many respects but they were noticeably less motivated and less well-behaved. The extent to which Learning Mentors were successful in supporting young people to overcome these attitudes which may present barriers to their learning, will be examined in depth through detailed multi-level model analyses which will be reported in the future.

4. STUDENTS' EXPERIENCES OF LEARNING MENTORS

This Section explores students' experiences of meeting with Learning Mentors. It reports the proportions of students in each of the year groups who had talked to a Learning Mentor and the number of times they met with them, and presents young people's views on the helpfulness of Learning Mentors. Comparisons of the responses given to all three surveys are made where appropriate. However, it is worth noting that the students in each of the surveys were in different schools.

4.1 Extent to which Students had talked to a Learning Mentor

This section presents the proportions of respondents who had met with a Learning Mentor, and reports the data for each of the year groups in turn, for all three years of the surveys.

Table 4.1 Extent to which students had talked to a Learning Mentor: Year 7

Talked to a Learning Mentor	Since Sept 2000 %	Since Sept 2001 %	Since Sept 2002 %
Yes	15	19	16
No	70	63	66
Not sure	13	15	14
No response	2	4	4
N	11,962	13,621	15,449

A single response item

Due to rounding, percentages may not sum to 100

Source: Excellence in Cities Pupil Surveys 2001, 2002, 2003: Year 7

Comparisons of the proportions of Year 7 students who had met with a Learning Mentor since they started Year 7, across the three surveys, revealed that there was a slight increase in the proportions of students who had spoken with a LM from the 2001 survey to the 2002 survey. However, this trend did not continue in 2003, which suggests that these schools were not increasingly focusing on using Learning Mentors to support transition into Year 7.

Table 4.2 Extent to which students had talked to a Learning Mentor: Year 8

Talked to a Learning Mentor	Since Sept 2000 %	Since Sept 2001 %	Since Sept 2002 %
Yes	14	15	18
No	70	72	70
Not sure	13	9	8
No response	3	4	4
N	10,794	11,488	14,932

A single response item

Due to rounding, percentages may not sum to 100

Source: Excellence in Cities Pupil Surveys 2001, 2002, 2003: Year 8

Across the three surveys, there was a slight increase in the proportions of Year 8 students who indicated that they had spoken with a Learning Mentor since they had started Year 8.

Table 4.3 Extent to which students had talked to a Learning Mentor: Year 9

Talked to a Learning Mentor	Since Sept 2000 %	Since Sept 2001 %	Since Sept 2002 %
Yes	12	17	16
No	75	71	73
Not sure	11	9	7
No response	2	4	4
N	12,340	10,567	12,430

A single response item

Due to rounding, percentages may not sum to 100

Source: Excellence in Cities Pupil Surveys 2001, 2002, 2003: Year 9

There was a slight increase in the proportions of Year 9 students who indicated that they had spoken with a Learning Mentor since they had started Year 9 from the 2001 survey to the 2002 survey, and this figure remained broadly similar in 2003.

Table 4.4 Extent to which students had talked to a Learning Mentor: Year 10

Talked to a	Since Sept 2000	Since Sept 2001	Since Sept 2002
Learning Mentor	%	%	%
Yes	16	14	18
No	69	76	72
Not sure	12	7	6
No response	3	4	4
N	7,695	12,045	11,943

A single response item

Due to rounding, percentages may not sum to 100

Source: Excellence in Cities Pupil Surveys 2001, 2002, 2003: Year 10

There was a slight decrease in the proportion of Year 10 students who reported that they had met with a Learning Mentor from the 2001 to the 2002 survey, however, this increased in 2003.

Table 4.5 Extent to which students had talked to a Learning Mentor: Year 11

Talked to a Learning Mentor	Since Sept 2000 %	Since Sept 2001 %	Since Sept 2002 %
Yes	28	30	27
No	63	60	63
Not sure	7	7	6
No response	2	4	4
N	8,809	8,483	12,116

A single response item

Due to rounding, percentages may not sum to 100

Source: Excellence in Cities Pupil Surveys 2001, 2002, 2003: Year 11

There was a slight increase in the proportion of Year 11 students who reported that they had met with a Learning Mentor from the 2001 to the 2002 survey, however, this decreased in 2003.

In summary, although there was some slight variation in the proportions of young people who had met with a Learning Mentor across the three surveys, the proportions of students in Years 7 to 10 were broadly similar, at just under one-fifth of respondents. However, the proportions of Year 11 students who indicated that they had met with a Learning Mentor were much higher, with nearly one-third of respondents reporting this across all three years of the survey. This suggests that schools generally tended to focus much of their Learning Mentor resource on Year 11 students. Visits to schools suggested that this was in order to support them in their preparation for their GCSE exams by helping them to organise their work and manage a stressful time.

4.2 Degree of Exposure to Learning Mentors

This section describes the number of times students from each of the five year groups had met with a Learning Mentor since the start of the academic year in which they were surveyed. It does not present the data for the 2000 survey because, in this year, the question was asked in a different format, and therefore, the responses are not directly comparable with the other two surveys.

Table 4.6 Number of meetings with a Learning Mentor: Year 7

Number of meetings	2002 %	2003 %
Once	37	31
2–3 times	27	24
4–5 times	10	12
6–10 times	6	7
More than 10 times	18	23
No response	3	2
N	2,515	2,538

A single response item

Due to rounding, percentages may not sum to 100

A filter question: all those who indicated that they had seen a Learning Mentor

Source: Excellence in Cities Pupil Surveys 2002, 2003: Year 7

Table 4.7 Number of meetings with a Learning Mentor: Year 8

Number of meetings	2002 %	2003 %
Once	29	32
2–3 times	27	26
4–5 times	12	11
6–10 times	8	8
More than 10 times	22	21
No response	3	2
N	1,743	2,748

A single response item

Due to rounding, percentages may not sum to 100

A filter question: all those who indicated that they had seen a Learning Mentor

Source: Excellence in Cities Pupil Surveys 2002, 2003: Year 8

Table 4.8 Number of meetings with a Learning Mentor: Year 9

Number of meetings	2002 %	2003
Once	37	31
2–3 times	23	24
4–5 times	10	11
6–10 times	8	9
More than 10 times	18	23
No response	4	2
N	1,778	2,029

A single response item

Due to rounding, percentages may not sum to 100

A filter question: all those who indicated that they had seen a Learning Mentor

Source: Excellence in Cities Pupil Surveys 2002, 2003: Year 9

Table 4.9 Number of meetings with a Learning Mentor: Year 10

Number of meetings	2002 %	2003 %
Once	29	31
2–3 times	25	30
4–5 times	12	12
6–10 times	9	7
More than 10 times	21	19
No response	4	1
N	1,675	2,160

A single response item

Due to rounding, percentages may not sum to 100

A filter question: all those who indicated that they had seen a Learning Mentor

Source: Excellence in Cities Pupil Surveys 2002, 2003: Year 10

Table 4.10 Number of meetings with a Learning Mentor: Year 11

Number of meetings	2002 %	2003 %
Once	38	33
2–3 times	31	29
4–5 times	11	14
6–10 times	7	8
More than 10 times	12	15
No response	1	1
N	2,546	3,320

A single response item

Due to rounding, percentages may not sum to 100

A filter question: all those who indicated that they had seen a Learning Mentor

Source: Excellence in Cities Pupil Surveys 2002, 2003: Year 11

Overall, the majority of students from each of the year groups reported that they had met with a Learning Mentor three times or less. This pattern was broadly similar across the two surveys. However, for Years 9 and 11, the proportions of students reporting this number of meetings decreased in the 2003 survey, whilst in Year 10 the proportion increased in 2003. It should be noted that students responded to the surveys in the spring term, therefore, they may have gone on to meet with their Learning Mentor on further occasions. However, these findings suggest that, for many students, a short intervention is all that is experienced and perhaps required.

The surveys suggested that there is a second group of young people for whom more sustained involvement with a Learning Mentor is required – around a fifth of respondents from Years 7 to 10 indicated that they had met a LM more than ten times.

This pattern was broadly similar across the two surveys. However, in Years 7 and 9, the proportions of students who had seen a Learning Mentor more than ten times increased slightly in 2003. The proportions of Year 11 students who indicated that they had met with a Learning Mentor more than ten times was much lower than in the other year groups, which suggests that Year 11 students were more likely to have a short intervention from a Learning Mentor rather than sustained involvement. However, as outlined in Section 3, students who have seen a Learning Mentor six or more times were not noticeably different, in terms of a range of characteristics, from their peers who saw a Learning Mentor fewer times.

4.3 Students' Views on the Helpfulness of Learning Mentors

Students' views on whether meeting with a Learning Mentor was helpful are presented in this section. Again, the data for each of the year groups, for each of the three surveys, is presented separately.

Table 4.11 Students' views on the helpfulness of Learning Mentors: Year 7

It helped to see a Learning Mentor	2001 %	2002 %	2003 %
Yes	70	65	67
No	11	12	13
Not sure	16	21	18
No response	3	3	3
N	1,760	2,515	2,538

A single response item

Due to rounding, percentages may not sum to 100

A filter question: all those who indicated that they had seen a Learning Mentor

Source: Excellence in Cities Pupil Surveys 2001, 2002, 2003: Year 7

Table 4.12 Students' views on the helpfulness of Learning Mentors: Year 8

It helped to see a Learning Mentor	2001 %	2002 %	2003 %
Yes	67	66	69
No	15	14	13
Not sure	15	14	14
No response	3	7	4
N	1,469	1,743	2,748

A single response item

Due to rounding, percentages may not sum to 100

A filter question: all those who indicated that they had seen a Learning Mentor

Source: Excellence in Cities Pupil Surveys 2001, 2002, 2003: Year 8

Table 4.13 Students' views on the helpfulness of Learning Mentors: Year 9

It helped to see a Learning Mentor	2001 %	2002 %	2003 %
Yes	69	67	70
No	15	14	13
Not sure	13	13	14
No response	3	6	3
N	1,444	1,778	2,029

A single response item

Due to rounding, percentages may not sum to 100

A filter question: all those who indicated that they had seen a Learning Mentor

Source: Excellence in Cities Pupil Surveys 2001, 2002, 2003: Year 9

Table 4.14 Students' views on the helpfulness of Learning Mentors: Year 10

It helped to see a Learning Mentor	2001 %	2002 %	2003 %
Yes	68	67	67
No	15	16	19
Not sure	14	14	13
No response	3	3	1
N	1,262	1,675	2,160

A single response item

Due to rounding, percentages may not sum to 100

A filter question: all those who indicated that they had seen a Learning Mentor

Source: Excellence in Cities Pupil Surveys 2001, 2002, 2003: Year 10

Table 4.15 Students' views on the helpfulness of Learning Mentors: Year 11

It helped to see a Learning Mentor	2001 %	2002 %	2003 %
Yes	68	70	71
No	18	16	16
Not sure	12	13	13
No response	1	1	1
N	2,459	2,546	3,320

A single response item

Due to rounding, percentages may not sum to 100

A filter question: all those who indicated that they had seen a Learning Mentor

Source: Excellence in Cities Pupil Surveys 2001, 2002, 2003: Year 11

Overall, most of the students who had met with a Learning Mentor reported that they had found them helpful, and the proportions stating this were broadly similar among all five year groups of students. There was, however, some slight variation in the proportions of students who found Learning Mentors helpful across the three surveys.

– in Year 7, the proportions of students who found Learning Mentors helpful were lower in the 2002 and 2003 surveys than in 2001, whilst in Years 8 and 11, the proportions increased across the three surveys.

It is not possible to identify from the surveys in what ways students found the Learning Mentors helpful. However, the case-study report (Golden *et al.*, 2002) identified benefits to students in the following areas:

- academic achievement and quality of students' school work
- personal development
- attitudes to school
- relationships with teachers and other students.

4.3.1 Post-16 surveys

Young people who had been in Year 11 during the 2001 and 2002 surveys were surveyed again in the following spring term in order to explore their transition post-16. Just over a third (36 per cent) of respondents to the 2002 post-16 survey reported that they had spoken to a Learning Mentor when they were in Year 11 at school, and of these young people, 66 per cent indicated that this experience had been useful. In the 2003 post-16 survey, a higher proportion of young people (43 per cent) reported that they had met with a Learning Mentor when they were in Year 11, and 67 per cent of these stated that they found this useful.

In these post-16 surveys, young people were also asked who, if anyone, they had discussed further and higher education with. In the 2002 survey, one-fifth of respondents indicated that they had talked to a Learning Mentor about further education and 60 per cent of these young people had found this useful. Only four per cent had talked to a Learning Mentor about higher education, although 60 per cent found this discussion useful. In the 2003 survey, a quarter of young people reported that they had talked to a Learning Mentor about further education, and nearly two-thirds stated that they had found this useful. Nine per cent of respondents indicated that they had talked to a Learning Mentor about higher education, and 64 per cent stated that this had been useful. This change between the two surveys may indicate Learning Mentors increasingly discussing post-16 options and transition issues with the young people they support.

Further analysis of the 2002 post-16 survey suggested that seeing a Learning Mentor in Year 11 had no effect on young people's post-16 transition, as there was no

difference between those who had made a positive transition (continuing in further education, undertaking training or a job with training), and those who had made a negative transition (for example, in a job without training, unemployed). However, there did appear to be a relationship between seeing a Learning Mentor in Year 11 and young people's intended post-18 plans. Statistical comparisons of young people with different post-18 intended destinations revealed that students who planned to go into further education or employment at age 18 were more likely than those who intended to go to university to have spoken to a Learning Mentor about further education when they were in Year 11 at school.

5. CONCLUSION

The surveys of EiC school senior managers, teachers and students which were undertaken between 2000 and 2003 revealed that Learning Mentors had been largely well received by schools. Half of school managers said that this Strand was a benefit and, together with the Gifted and Talented Strand, it was one of the most frequently identified benefits of involvement in EiC. In addition, most form teachers believed that it was important that young people should have access to a trained mentor.

Schools tended to target the use of Learning Mentors at individual pupils or groups of pupils but there was evidence of an increasing use of drop-in support to supplement this targeting. Learning Mentors were valued by most of those students with whom they worked. Around a fifth of young people in Years 7 to 10 had met with a Learning Mentor and in Year 11 just over a quarter had done so. Across the year groups and across the surveys, the majority (about two-thirds) of students had found their experience with a Learning Mentor to have been helpful. The outcomes of the Learning Mentor intervention will be explored further in future analyses.

In general, the characteristics of the students who had met with a Learning Mentor were not markedly different from those of their peers who did not meet with a Learning Mentor. However, it emerged that, across the year groups, students who had met with a Learning Mentor in 2001/2002 were more likely to be recognised for action on the register of SEN, to have been excluded in the recent past and to be less motivated and less well-behaved than their peers. Moreover, students in Years 7 and 8 had lower prior attainment levels than their peers who had not seen a Learning Mentor. Nevertheless, it appears that Learning Mentors work with students who may not be noticeably different from their peers in many respects but whose need for further support in order to minimise their individual barriers to learning is recognised by the professional judgement of school staff and Learning Mentors.

REFERENCES

GOLDEN, S., KNIGHT, S., O'DONNELL, L., SMITH, P. and SIMS, D. (2002). *Learning Mentors Strand Study* (Excellence in Cities Report 16/2002) [online]. Available: http://www.nfer.ac.uk/research/documents/EIC/16-2002.doc [18 July 2003].

GOLDEN, S., SMITH, P. and SIMS, D. (2003). *Learning Mentors Phase 3 Strand Study* (Excellence in Cities Report 08/2003) [online]. Available: http://www.nfer.ac.uk/research/documents/EIC/08-2003.doc [18 July 2003]